

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 25, 2002 LR 6

amendment to Article III, Section 24, of the Nebraska Constitution. It has been discussed, Mr. President. When the Legislature adjourned, the last time it was on the issue, Senator Beutler had offered AM2819. That motion lost, Mr. President. I now have a priority motion. Senator Brashear would move to reconsider that vote, specifically the adoption of AM2819. (Legislative Journal page 713.)

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Brashear, you're recognized to open on your motion to reconsider.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, this is an interesting place to serve. You're either always right or always wrong, depends on the point of view of the people who are telling you what they think. I came to the floor at the time of this original vote, having told Senator Beutler that I would consider being the 25th vote to push it over to Select File for further debate and discussion, if that would do it. As you know it only got 23 votes. I have always been a no vote on gaming and probably will continue to be a no vote, but I've also, consistently, been an advocate of talking about the issues here. I'm not a fan of being slam dunked, and I'm not a fan of slam dunking the people or the other side. And I think that we have a history of having sometimes refused to be responsive to what it was that was concerning the people by at least talking about it substantively and at length, and we have lived to regret it. The acceptable example is term limits. I hear a lot of wailing and moaning, so to speak, here in the body and outside the body, about what a destructive total effect term limits is going to have on the well-being of the state of Nebraska, but this body never moved to limit it to one-year term of four years, or eight years, or twelve years, or I've always said, half jokingly, half seriously, we could have put in term limits of sixteen years and the voters would have at least thought we were responsive to their emotion and their thought. And we need to be responsive to both. But when we weren't responsive then the voters felt slam dunked, and they did it to us. They may have done it to themselves. They're going to reap what they sow. But, nevertheless, it's a good example that I can use without arousing any other passions of what happens, I think, when we're not responsive. So it was a...a surprise to me when I read,